

ALMOST AND NEAR HIGHER DERIVATION

YOUNG WHAN LEE*

ABSTRACT. In this paper we show that every near higher derivation on a Banach algebra is an almost higher derivation. Also we obtain conditions that every almost higher derivation is a near higher derivation.

1. Introduction

Let A be a Banach algebra. A linear mapping D on A is a *derivation* if

$$D(ab) = D(a)b + aD(b)$$

for all $a, b \in A$. Also a class $\{H_0, H_1, \dots, H_m\}$ of linear mappings on A is a *higher derivation* of rank m if

$$H_n(ab) = \sum_{i=0}^n H_i(a)H_{n-i}(b)$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and for all $a, b \in A$ and H_0 is an identity mapping on A , that is, $H_0 = Id_A$.

We denote the space of bounded linear mappings on A by $\mathfrak{B}_K(A)$ which is bounded by a constant K . For the convenience we let

$$\mathfrak{P}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A)) \\ = \left\{ \{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\} \mid T_0 = Id_A, T_i \in \mathfrak{B}_K(A) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m \right\}.$$

Received February 02, 2012; Accepted June 20, 2012.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46H05, 39B72.

Key words and phrases: approximate derivation, higher derivation, almost higher derivation, near higher derivation, stability.

Supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (Grant No. 2011-0021253).

For $\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\} \in \mathfrak{P}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A))$ we define

$$T_n^\vee(a, b) = T_n(ab) - \sum_{i=0}^n T_i(a)T_{n-i}(b)$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and for all $a, b \in A$.

The subset of $\mathfrak{P}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A))$ consisting of higher derivations is denoted by $\mathfrak{H}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A))$. That is, if $\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\} \in \mathfrak{H}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A))$ then $T_n^\vee = 0$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots, m$. For $\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\} \in \mathfrak{P}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A))$ we put

$$\begin{aligned} d(\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\}) &= \inf \left\{ \max_{1 \leq n \leq m} \|T_n - H_n\| \mid \{H_0, H_1, \dots, H_m\} \in \mathfrak{H}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A)) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\} \right\| \\ &= \max_{1 \leq n \leq m} \|T_n^\vee\| \\ &= \max_{1 \leq n \leq m} \sup_{\|a\|, \|b\|=1} \left\{ \left\| T_n(ab) - \sum_{i=0}^n T_i(a)T_{n-i}(b) \right\| \mid a, b \in A \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can see that $\{H_0, H_1, \dots, H_m\} \in \mathfrak{H}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A))$ if and only if

$$d(\{H_0, H_1, \dots, H_m\}) = 0.$$

Note that $\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\} \in \mathfrak{P}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A))$ is called a δ -near higher derivation on A of rank m if

$$d(\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\}) \leq \delta$$

and also $\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\}$ is called an ε -almost higher derivation on A of rank m if

$$\left\| \{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\} \right\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

B. E. Johnson[4] obtained conditions that every almost multiplicative map is a near multiplicative map. Note that A. M. Sinclair[6] proved that every derivation on a semisimple Banach algebra is continuous. F. Gurick[2] introduced the concept of higher derivation and N. P. Jewell[3] showed that the result of A. M. Sinclair could be extended to higher derivation. The author[5] solved the automatic continuity problem of an approximate higher derivation on a semisimple Banach algebra and investigate Hyers-Ulam stability for a higher derivation.

In this paper, we show that every near higher derivation on a Banach algebra is an almost higher derivation and obtain conditions that every almost higher derivation is a near higher derivation.

DEFINITION 1.1. We say that every near higher derivation on a Banach algebra A of rank m is an almost higher derivation if for each $\varepsilon \geq 0$ there exists $\delta \geq 0$ such that if $d(\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\}) \leq \delta$ for any $\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\} \in \mathfrak{P}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A))$ then $\|\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\}\| \leq \varepsilon$.

THEOREM 1.2. Let A be a Banach algebra. Every near higher derivation on A of rank m is an almost higher derivation.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon \geq 0$ be given, $\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\} \in \mathfrak{P}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A))$ and $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2K(m-2)+3}$. Also let $\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\}$ be a δ -near derivation on A of rank m . Then there is a $\{H_0, H_1, \dots, H_m\} \in \mathfrak{H}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A))$ such that for $n = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $\|T_n - H_n\| \leq \delta$. Also, for $n = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_n^\vee(a, b)\| \\ &= \left\| T_n(ab) - \sum_{i=0}^n T_i(a)T_{n-i}(b) \right\| \\ &\leq \|(T_n - H_n)(ab)\| + \sum_{i=0}^n \|(T_i - H_i)(a)H_{n-i}(b)\| \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^n \|T_i(a)(H_{n-i} - T_{n-i})(b)\| \\ &\leq \|(T_n - H_n)\| \|a\| \|b\| \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|(T_i - H_i)(a)H_{n-i}(b)\| \\ &\quad + \|(T_n - H_n)(a)b\| + \|(T_0 - H_0)(a)H_n(b)\| \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|T_i(a)(H_{n-i} - T_{n-i})(b)\| + \|a(T_0 - H_0)(b)\| + \|a(H_n - T_n)(b)\| \\ &\leq (3 + 2K(n - 2)) \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|(T_i - H_i)\| \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in A$ with $\|a\| = 1, \|b\| = 1$ and for all $\{H_0, H_1, \dots, H_m\} \in \mathfrak{H}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A))$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_n\}\| &= \max_{1 \leq n \leq m} \|T_n^\vee\| \\ &\leq (3 + 2K(m - 2))d(\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\}) \\ &\leq (3 + 2K(m - 2))\delta \\ &\leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

DEFINITION 1.3. We say that every almost higher derivation on a Banach algebra A of rank m is a near higher derivation if for each $\varepsilon \geq 0$ there exists $\delta \geq 0$ such that if $\|\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\}\| \leq \delta$ for any $\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\} \in \mathfrak{P}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A))$ then $d(\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\}) \leq \varepsilon$.

EXAMPLE 1.4. Let A be a Banach algebra of 2×2 matrices which the matrix is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$$

for each scalar a and b . Let $\varepsilon_1 \geq 0$ and $\varepsilon_2 \geq 0$ be given. Define mappings $\{H_0, H_1, H_2\}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= Id, \\ H_1 \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon_1 b & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ H_2 \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varepsilon_2 b & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\{H_0, H_1, H_2\}$ is a higher derivation of rank 2. Now define mappings $\{T_0, T_1, T_2\}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 &= Id, \\ T_1 \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 a & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_1 a \end{pmatrix}, \\ T_2 \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_2 a & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_2 a \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\|T_1^\vee\| \leq \varepsilon_1$, $\|T_2^\vee\| \leq \varepsilon_2$, $\|T_1 - H_1\| \leq \varepsilon_1$ and $\|T_2 - H_2\| \leq \varepsilon_2$. Therefore $\{T_0, T_1, T_2\}$ is $\max\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$ -almost higher derivation of rank 2 and also it is a $\max\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$ -near higher derivation.

THEOREM 1.5. Let A be a finite dimensional Banach algebra. Then every almost higher derivation on A of any rank m is a near higher derivation.

Proof. Note that the dimension of $(\mathfrak{B}_K(A))^m$ is also finite. Let $\varepsilon \geq 0$ be given and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C} &= \left\{ \{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\} \in \mathfrak{P}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A)) \mid d(\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\}) \geq \varepsilon \right\}, \\ \mathfrak{G}_\delta &= \left\{ \{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\} \in \mathfrak{P}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A)) \mid \|\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\}\| > \delta \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that $\mathfrak{G}_\delta \subseteq (\mathfrak{B}_K(A))^m$ is open and $\mathfrak{C} \subseteq (\mathfrak{B}_K(A))^m$ is closed.

Let $\varepsilon \geq 0$ be given and there exists

$$\{J_{(t,0)}, J_{(t,1)}, \dots, J_{(t,m)}\} \in (\mathfrak{B}_K(A))^m \setminus \mathfrak{G}_\delta$$

such that

$$\{J_{(t,0)}, J_{(t,1)}, \dots, J_{(t,m)}\} \rightarrow \{J_0, J_1, \dots, J_m\}$$

in \mathfrak{G}_δ^c as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\{J_{(t,0)}, J_{(t,1)}, \dots, J_{(t,m)}\} \notin \mathfrak{G}_\delta$ for every (t, n) ,

$$\sup_{\|a\|, \|b\|=1} \left\| J_{(t,n)}(ab) - \sum_{i=0}^m J_{(t,i)}(a)J_{(t,n-1)}(b) \right\| \leq \delta$$

and there is a constant N such that for all $t \geq N$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots, m$

$$\|J_n - J_{(t,n)}\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Then for each n we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|J_n^\vee\| \\ &= \sup_{\|a\|, \|b\|=0} \left\| J_n(ab) - \sum_{i=0}^n J_i(a)J_{n-i}(b) \right\| \\ &= \sup_{\|a\|, \|b\|=0} \left(\|(J_n - J_{(t,n)})(ab)\| + \|J_{(t,n)}(ab) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{i=0}^n J_{(t,i)}(a)J_{(t,n-i)}(b)\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=0}^n \|J_{(t,i)}(a)J_{(t,n-i)}(b) - J_i(a)J_{n-i}(b)\| \right) \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \delta + \sup_{\|a\|, \|b\|=0} \sum_{i=0}^n \|(J_{(t,i)} - J_i)(a)J_{(t,n-i)}(b)\| \\ &\quad + \sup_{\|a\|, \|b\|=0} \sum_{i=0}^n \|J_i(a)(J_{(t,n-i)} - J_{n-i})(b)\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \varepsilon + \delta + \sup_{\|a\|, \|b\|=0} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|(J_{(t,i)} - J_i)(a)J_{(t,n-i)}(b)\| \\
 &\quad + \|(J_{(t,n)} - J_n)(a)J_{(t,0)}(b)\| \\
 &\quad + \sup_{\|a\|, \|b\|=0} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|J_i(a)(J_{(t,n-i)} - J_i)(b)\| + \|J_0(a)(J_{(t,n)} - J_n)(b)\| \\
 &\leq \varepsilon + \delta + 2\varepsilon + 2K(n-2)\varepsilon \\
 &= M\varepsilon + \delta, \quad (\text{where } M = 2K(n-2) + 3).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since ε was arbitrary, $\|J_n^\vee\| \leq \delta$ for $1 \leq n \leq m$ and so

$$\|\{J_0, J_1, \dots, J_n\}\| \leq \delta.$$

This states that $\{J_0, J_1, \dots, J_n\} \notin \mathfrak{G}_\delta$ and thus $\{J_0, J_1, \dots, J_n\} \in \mathfrak{G}_\delta^c$. This says that \mathfrak{G}_δ is open.

To show that \mathfrak{C} is closed, we let

$$\{J_{(t,0)}, J_{(t,1)}, \dots, J_{(t,n)}\} \rightarrow \{J_0, J_1, \dots, J_m\}$$

in \mathfrak{C} as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|\{J_{(t,0)}, J_{(t,1)}, \dots, J_{(t,n)}\}\| \\
 &= \inf \left\{ \max_{1 \leq n \leq m} \|H_n - J_{(t,n)}\| \mid \{H_0, H_1, \dots, H_n\} \in \mathfrak{H}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A)) \right\} \\
 &\geq \varepsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

and for arbitrary $\varepsilon' \geq 0$ there is N such that for all n ($n = 1, 2, \dots, m$), and $t > N$

$$\|J_n - J_{(t,n)}\| < \varepsilon'.$$

Thus for every ($n = 1, 2, \dots, m$), we have

$$\|H_n - J_n\| \geq \|H_n - J_{(n,t)}\| - \|J_{(n,t)} - J_n\| \geq \varepsilon - \varepsilon'.$$

Since ε' was arbitrary, $d(\{J_0, J_1, \dots, J_n\}) \geq \varepsilon$. Therefore $\{J_0, J_1, \dots, J_n\} \in \mathfrak{C}$ and thus \mathfrak{C} is closed.

Since $\mathfrak{C} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_K(A)^m$ and $\dim(\mathfrak{B}_K(A)^m) < \infty$, \mathfrak{C} is compact. Since

$$\mathfrak{C} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}_K(A)^m \setminus \mathfrak{H}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A)) \subseteq \bigcup_{\delta>0} \mathfrak{G}_\delta,$$

there exist $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_l \geq 0$ such that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^l \mathfrak{G}_{\delta_i} \supset \mathfrak{C}.$$

Let $\Delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_l\}$. Then $C \subset \mathfrak{G}_\Delta$. Now let $\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\} \in \mathfrak{P}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A))$ with $\|\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\}\| \leq \Delta$. Then $\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\} \notin \mathfrak{C}$. Therefore

$$d(\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\}) < \varepsilon.$$

This says that every almost higher derivation on A of rank m is a near higher derivation. \square

THEOREM 1.6. *Let A be a Banach algebra. Every almost higher derivation on A of any rank m is a near higher derivation if and only if for any*

$$\{J_{(t,0)}, J_{(t,1)}, \dots, J_{(t,n)}\} \in \mathfrak{P}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A))$$

with $J_{(t,n)}^\vee \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots, m$), there exists

$$\{H_0, H_1, \dots, H_m\} \in \mathfrak{H}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A))$$

such that for each n ($n = 1, 2, \dots, m$), $J_{(t,n)} - H_n \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Let $\{J_{(t,0)}, J_{(t,1)}, \dots, J_{(t,n)}\} \in \mathfrak{P}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A))$ and $J_{(t,n)}^\vee \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots, m$). Then for any $\varepsilon \geq 0$ we can choose δ and t such that

$$\|\{J_{(t,0)}, J_{(t,1)}, \dots, J_{(t,n)}\}\| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|J_{(t,i)}^\vee\| \leq \delta.$$

By hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} & d(\{J_{(t,0)}, J_{(t,1)}, \dots, J_{(t,n)}\}) \\ &= \inf \left\{ \max_{1 \leq n \leq m} \|J_{(t,n)} - H_n\| \mid \{H_0, H_1, \dots, H_m\} \in \mathfrak{H}_m(\mathfrak{B}_k(A)) \right\} \\ &\leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus there exists $\{H_0, H_1, \dots, H_m\} \in \mathfrak{H}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A))$ such that $J_{(t,n)} - H_n \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Conversely, suppose that $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and

$$\{J_{(t,0)}, J_{(t,1)}, \dots, J_{(t,n)}\} \in \mathfrak{P}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(A))$$

and $J_{(t,n)}^\vee \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots, m$). Then there exists $\delta \geq 0, N$ and

$$\{H_0, H_1, \dots, H_n\} \in \mathfrak{H}_m(\mathfrak{B}_k(A))$$

such that for every $t \geq N$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $\|J_{(t,n)}^\vee\| \leq \delta$ implies $\|J_{(t,n)} - H_n\| \leq \varepsilon$. That is, if

$$\|\{J_{(t,0)}, J_{(t,1)}, \dots, J_{(t,n)}\}\| \leq \delta$$

then

$$d(\{J_{(t,0)}, J_{(t,1)}, \dots, J_{(t,n)}\}) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Thus every almost higher derivation on A of any rank m is a near higher derivation. \square

EXAMPLE 1.7. Let $C^\infty[0, 1]$ denote the algebra of all complex valued functions on $[0,1]$ which have infinitely differentiable functions. J. F. Feinstein[1] got several Banach algebra norms on $C^\infty[0, 1]$. We give a Banach algebra norm on $C^\infty[0, 1]$ by

$$\|f\| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|f^{(n)}\|_\infty}{(n!)^2}$$

where $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |f(t)|$. Then $\|f'\| \leq \|f\|$ and $\|f^{(k)}\| \leq (k!)^2 \|f\|$, because for any $k \geq 1$

$$\frac{1}{(k!)^2} \left(\frac{1}{(2!)^2} + \frac{(2!)^2}{(3!)^2} + \frac{(3!)^2}{(4!)^2} + \dots \right) \|f^{(k)}\| \leq \frac{1}{(k!)^2} \|f^{(k)}\| \leq \|f\|.$$

We denote this Banach algebra by $C^\infty([0, 1], (n!)^2)$.

Define $\{H_0, H_1, \dots, H_m\} \in \mathfrak{H}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(C^\infty([0, 1], (n!)^2)))$ by $H_0 = Id$ and $H_n(f) = f^{(n)}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Also define $\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\} \in \mathfrak{P}_m(\mathfrak{B}_K(C^\infty([0, 1], (n!)^2)))$ by $T_0 = Id$ and $T_n(f) = f^{(n)} + \varepsilon f$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then $\|T_n(f) - H_n(f)\| \leq \varepsilon \|f\|$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_n^\vee(fg)\| &= \|T_n(fg) - \sum_{i=0}^m T_i(f)T_{n-i}(g)\| \\ &= \|(fg)^{(n)} + \varepsilon fg - \sum_{i=0}^m (f^{(i)} + \varepsilon f)(g^{(n-i)} + \varepsilon g)\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \left(\varepsilon + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (i!)^2 ((m-i)!)^2 \right) \|f\| \|g\| \end{aligned}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $f, g \in C^\infty[0, 1]$. Therefore $\{T_0, T_1, \dots, T_m\}$ is an almost and near higher derivation.

DEFINITION 1.8. Let A be a commutative Banach algebra. A linear mapping D on A is a ρ -derivation (or module derivation) if

$$D(ab) = \rho(b)D(a) + \rho(a)D(b)$$

for all $a, b \in A$, which ρ is a continuous homomorphism on A . Also a class $\{H_0 = \rho, H_1, \dots, H_m\}$ of linear mappings on A is a ρ -higher

derivation of rank m if

$$H_n(ab) = \sum_{i=0}^n H_i(a)H_{n-i}(b)$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and for all $a, b \in A$. As well as almost and near higher derivations, we can define almost and near ρ -higher derivations.

We can see that all theorems above hold for the case of ρ -higher derivation.

THEOREM 1.9. *Let $\{T_0 = \rho, T_1, T_2\} \in \mathfrak{P}_2(\mathfrak{B}_K(C^\infty([0, 1], (n!)^2)))$ be an ε -almost ρ -higher derivation of rank 2 with $\rho(z^i)T_n(z^j) = 0$ for $i + j > s$ (for some integer s and $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n$). Then $\{T_0, T_1, T_2\}$ is a near ρ -higher derivation.*

Proof. For the convenience, we denote $\rho(f)g$ by fg . We prove the following formula by induction; For $m \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(z^l) &= T_1^\vee(z, z^{l-1}) + zT_1^\vee(z, z^{l-2}) + z^2T_1^\vee(z, z^{l-3}) \\ &\quad + \dots + z^{l-2}T_1^\vee(z, z) + lz^{l-1}T_1(z). \end{aligned}$$

It is trivial for $l = 2$. Assume that it holds for l . Then

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(z^{l+1}) &= T_1^\vee(z, z^l) + zT_1(z^l) + z^lT_1(z) \\ &= T_1^\vee(z, z^l) + zT_1^\vee(z, z^{l-1}) \\ &\quad + \dots + z^{l-1}T_1^\vee(z, z) + (l+1)z^lT_1(z). \end{aligned}$$

For any polynomial $p(z) = a_0 + a_1z + \dots + a_tz^t$ ($t \geq s$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(p) &= T_1(a_0) + a_1T_1(z) + a_2zT_1(z) + \dots + a_tz^{t-1}T_1(z) \\ &\quad + T_1^\vee(z, z)(a_2 + a_3z + \dots + a_tz^{t-2}) \\ &\quad + T_1^\vee(z, z^2)(a_3 + a_4z + \dots + a_tz^{t-3}) \\ &\quad + \dots + T_1^\vee(z, z^{t-1})(a_t + T_1^\vee(z, z^t)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $z^i T_n(z^j) = 0$ for $i + j > s$ for some integer s and $i, j = 0, 1, 2$ it is easy to show that $z^i T_1^\vee(z, z^j) = 0$ for $i + j > s$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(p) &= p'T_1(z) + T_1(a_0) + T_1^\vee(z, z)(a_2 + a_3z + \dots + a_{s-3}z^{s-1}) \\ &\quad + T_1^\vee(z, z^2)(a_3 + a_4z + \dots + a_{s-4}z^{s-2}) + \dots + T_1^\vee(z, z^s)(a_{s+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $n!|a_n| \leq \|p^{(n)}\|_\infty \leq \|p^{(n)}\| \leq (n!)^2\|p\|$, $|a_n| \leq (n!)\|p\|$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Thus we have

$$\|T_1(p) - p'T_1(z)\| \leq \varepsilon s(1 + 2! + 3! + \dots + (s - 3!))\|p\| = \varepsilon'\|p\|$$

for $\varepsilon' = m(1 + 2! + 3! + \dots + (s - 3)!)$.

We define $H_0, H_1 \in \mathfrak{B}_K(C^\infty([0, 1], (n!)^2))$ by $H_0 = \rho, H_1(f) = f'T_1(z)$. Then H_1 is a derivation and for all $f \in C^\infty([0, 1], (n!)^2)$

$$\|T_1(f) - H_1(f)\| \leq \varepsilon' \|f\|.$$

By induction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_2(z^l) = & T_2^\vee(z, z^{l-1}) + zT_2^\vee(z, z^{l-2}) + z^2T_1^\vee(z, z^{l-3}) + \dots + z^{l-2}T_2^\vee(z, z) \\ & + T_1(z)(T_1^\vee(z, z^{l-2}) + 2zT_1^\vee(z, z^{l-3}) + \dots + (l - 2)z^{l-3}T_1^\vee(z, z)) \\ & + \frac{l(l - 1)}{2}z^{l-2}T_1(z)^2 + lz^{l-1}T_2(z) \end{aligned}$$

for $l \geq 2$. It is trivial for $n = 2$. Assume that it is true for l . Then

$$\begin{aligned} T_2(z^l) = & T_2^\vee(z, z^l) + zT_2(z^l) + z^lT_2(z) + T_1(z)T_1(z^l) \\ = & T_2^\vee(z, z^l) + zT_2^\vee(z, z^{l-1}) + \dots + z^{l-1}T_2^\vee(z, z) \\ & + T_1(z)(T_1^\vee(z, z^l) + 2zT_1^\vee(z, z^{l-2}) \\ & + \dots + (l + 1)z^{l-2}T_1^\vee(z, z)) \\ & + \frac{l(l + 1)}{2}z^{l-1}T_1(z)^2 + (l + 1)z^lT_2(z). \end{aligned}$$

For any polynomial $p(z) = a_0 + a_1z + \dots + a_tz^t \quad (t \geq s)$,

$$\begin{aligned} T_2(p) = & T_2(a_0) + a_1T_2(z) + a_22zT_2(z) \dots + a_ttz^{t-1}T_2(z) \\ & + \frac{T_1(z)^2}{2}(a_22 + a_33 \cdot 2z \dots + a_t t(t - 1)z^{t-2}) \\ & + T_2^\vee(z, z)(a_2 + a_3z + \dots + a_tz^{t-2}) \\ & + T_2^\vee(z, z^2)(a_3 + a_4z + \dots + a_tz^{t-3}) \\ & + \dots + T_2^\vee(z, z^{t-1})a_t \\ & + T_1(z)T_1^\vee(z, z)(a_3 + a_42z + \dots + a_t(t - 2)z^{t-3}) \\ & + T_1(z)T_1^\vee(z, z^2)(a_4 + a_52z + \dots + a_t(t - 3)z^{t-4}) \\ & + \dots + T_1(z)T_1^\vee(z, z^{t-2})a_t. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_2(p) = & p'T_2(z) + \frac{1}{2}p''T_2(z) + T_2(a_0) \\
 & + T_2^\vee(z, z)(a_2 + a_3z + \dots + a_{s+1}z^{s-1}) \\
 & + T_2^\vee(z, z^2)(a_3 + a_4z + \dots + a_{s+1}z^{s-2}) \\
 & + \dots + T_2^\vee(z, z^s)a_{s+1} \\
 & + T_1(z)T_1^\vee(z, z)(a_3 + a_42z + \dots + a_{s+2}sz^{s-1}) \\
 & + T_1(z)T_1^\vee(z, z^2)(a_4 + a_52z + \dots + a_{s+2}(s-1)z^{s-2}) \\
 & + \dots + T_1(z)T_1^\vee(z, z^s)a_{s+2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the calculation above we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|T_2(p) - p'T_2(z) - \frac{1}{2}f''T_1(z)^2\| \\
 & \leq \varepsilon s(1 + 2! + 3! + \dots + (s + 1)!) \|p\| \\
 & + \varepsilon s \|T_1\| (3! + 4! + \dots + (s + 2)!) \|p\| \\
 & := \varepsilon'' \|p\|
 \end{aligned}$$

for a constant ε'' , where $\varepsilon'' \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon' \rightarrow 0$.

We define $H_2 \in \mathfrak{B}_K(C^\infty([0, 1], (n!)^2))$ by $H_2(f) = f'T_2(z) + \frac{1}{2}f''T_1(z)^2$. Then $\{\rho, H_1, H_2\}$ is a ρ -higher derivation of rank 2 and for all $f \in C^\infty([0, 1], (n!)^2)$

$$\|T_2(f) - H_2(f)\| \leq \varepsilon'' \|f\|.$$

□

References

- [1] J. F. Feinstein, *Endomorphisms of Banach algrbras of infinitely differentiable functions on compact plane sets*, J. of Funct. Anal. **173** (2000), 61-73.
- [2] F. Gurick, *Systems of derivations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (1970), 456-487.
- [3] N. P. Jewell, *Continuity of module and higher derivation*, Pacific J. Math. **68** (1977), 91-98.
- [4] B. E. Johnson, *Approximate multiplicative maps between Banach algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **37** (1988), 294-316.
- [5] Y. W. Lee, *Automatic continuity and stability of approximate higher derivation*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc. **22** (2009), no. 4, 615-622.
- [6] A. M. Sinclair, *Automatic continuity of linear operators*, London Math. Sco. Lecture Note 21, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1976.

*

Department of Computer Hacking and Information Security,
Daejeon University,
Daejeon 300-716, Republic of Korea
E-mail: ywlee@dju.ac.kr